

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/724,755	11/28/2000	Hans-Michael Wenz	7414.0020-03	8421
22852 7590 01/10/2003 FINNEGAN, HENDERSON, FARABOW, GARRETT & DUNNER LLP 1300 I STREET, NW			EXAMINER	
			FREDMAN, JEFFREY NORMAN	
WASHINGTO			ART UNIT .	PAPER NÜMBER
			1637 DATE MAILED: 01/10/2003	, 10

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Advisory Action	09/724,755	WENZ, HANS-MICHAEL			
,	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Jeffrey Fredman	1637			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address					
THE REPLY FILED 11 December 2002 FAILS TO Therefore, further action by the applicant is require final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be eit condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1	d to avoid abandonment of this a her: (1) a timely filed amendmen Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a 14.	application. A proper reply to a t which places the application in a timely filed Request for Continued			
	R REPLY [check either a) or b)]				
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of t event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY 706.07(f).	his Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forti later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing of WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS O	date of the final rejection. FTHE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP			
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the sh (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	f extension and the corresponding amount ortened statutory period for reply originally	of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under			
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.					
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:					
(a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);					
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);					
(c) they are not deemed to place the applicationissues for appeal; and/or	ation in better form for appeal by	materially reducing or simplifying the			
(d) ☐ they present additional claims without c NOTE:	anceling a corresponding numbe	r of finally rejected claims.			
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following	rejection(s):				
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s)v canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	vould be allowable if submitted in	n a separate, timely filed amendment			
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: <u>See Continuation Sheet.</u>					
	The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly				
For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.					
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:					
Claim(s) allowed:					
Claim(s) objected to:					
Claim(s) rejected: <u>55-70 and 120-130</u> .					
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:	<u>.</u>				
. ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is a) ☐ approved or b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.					
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Stat					
10. Other:	•				
		Jeffrey Fredman Primary Examiner Art Unit: 1637			





Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant argues that the Barany PCT does not anticipate the claims because Barany does not teach primers as well as probes. This is incorrect. The Barany PCT expressly teaches a combination of probes and primers as in claim144, where a kit with probes (claim 138) is combined with amplification primers and a polymerase. Finally, Applicant has identified NO structural differences between the claimed kit and the compositions of the Barany PCT. Applicant makes a similar argument against the Barany Us patent, which argument is not found persuasive because again, no structural difference exists. All claims were addressed and the rejections against the claims are maintained. Applicant's remaining arguments have been previously addressed and are found in the prior office actions..